# INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SARAJEVO 

## IUS Gender Equality Plan

## Executive Summary

This document describes GEP (Gender Equality Plan) of the SAGE (Systemic Action for Gender Equality) - Horizon 2020 project for which the International University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina is responsible as Work Package leader. The GEP has been tailored to meet the specific conditions and culture in the institution. The GEP has been designed to be employed to remove barriers to the recruitment, retention and career progression of female researchers; address gender imbalances in decision-making processes; and strengthen the gender dimension in research programmes. The proposed changes are evidence based and were developed using the SAGE Wheel Model.

## Methodology for creating and constructing the GEP

IUS GEP was designed by the IUS SAGE Project Team and additional researchers from the University (it included staff from the following disciplines: Economics (with specialty in human resources and gender economics), Psychology, and Statistics. In order to draft the GEP, various data were collected and analysed.

## Collection and analysis of gender-disaggregated data

In order to find evidence and gaps where the GEP would be helpful, we collected and conducted an analysis of gender disaggregated data relating to the governing structure, student body, recruitment, retention and career progression of academic and research staff, work life balance provisions and maternity and paternity leave. First data was collected through Preliminary Data Gathering, and gave first glimpse at the institutional basic data information. Upon that, institutional data collection was extended to including all academics' information, related to the research projects, administration duties, maternity and paternity leaves, etc. In addition, we also collected the gendered data for advisors of completed MA/MS and PhD degrees as we deemed it relevant for our institution.


## Institution audit and gender impact assessment of policies, practices and procedures

We conducted a series of interviews. They helped us find out about policies and practices relating to gender equality in the University. We interviewed academic high management as well as key people in the administration. The audit included data on Institutional Governance (including policies and practices), Career Progression, Work-Life Balance, and EnGendering Knowledge.

## A quantitative survey of academics

We designed a quantitative survey based on the survey provided in "Primary Data Collection Tools". The questions in the survey were adopted to the local setting and all academics were invited to participate. The overall response rate to the survey was above $30 \%$ which is higher than reported in similar studies. The questions were designed to assess all four areas of interest again: Institutional Governance (including policies and practices), Career Progression, Work-Life Balance, and EnGendering Knowledge.

## A qualitative study of academics

We designed a qualitative survey based on the study provided in "Primary Data Collection Tools". The guidelines given were used to create a study for the local setting at the University. Within Qualitative Study, members of the SAGE team made interviews and focus groups, where open discussion took place.

All the collected information has been useful to identify critical gaps and challenges; assess the level of resources allocated to gender activities; establish a baseline for possible improvements and innovations, and feed into the design of Gender Equality Plan (GEP).
sage-growingequality.eu

IUS Gender Equality Plan

| SAGE <br> Quadrant | SAGE <br> Theme | Issues to be addressed | Planned Action | Measure of success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Career Progression |  | Lack of applications to external research funding (raw numbers suggest fewer female applications but significance of gender needs to be evaluated) <br> Also, there is a lack of awareness of including gender in all research grant proposals on European level (as evidenced from the record of research projects) | Training on applying to research funding that includes good practice of including gender in all discipline research | Training developed and taken place (at least 20 early career professors trained - 10 females and 10 male) |
|  |  | Glass ceiling at Associate Professor level | Academic leadership Programs for Women | At least 5 early career female professors trained |
|  |  | Glass ceiling at Associate Professor level | Media training to disseminate research and project outputs | At least 10 early career female professors trained |
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|  |  | Glass ceiling at Associate Professor level | Peer mentoring for women | At least 10 early career female professors assigned senior professors (both male and female) as career mentors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Glass ceiling at Associate Professor level | Skill enhancement for women (workshops to support networking, reading/writing groups and guidance on publishing) | At least 10 early career female professors attended the workshop |
|  |  | Women attract fewer graduate students for mentoring PhD thesis | Review PhD supervision selection and PhD advising processes to remove gender bias if there is any | Policy for PhD supervision selection and PhD advising examined for gender biases |
|  |  | Lower Visibility of Female Role Models | Unconscious bias lectures for the academic community. Examination of PR materials for gender bias | More female invited speakers, all university material examined for possible gender bias |
|  |  | Perceived lack of transparency around promotions | Disseminate new promotion policy to academic, start a record of successful promotions to be available for viewing, also monitoring gender ratios for those eligible to apply; applicants and successful | New promotion policy established and examined for possible gender biases. Increase in satisfaction with the promotion process reported amongst academics. Gendered data on all stages of promotion process available |
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| SAGE Quadrant | SAGE Theme | Issues to be addressed | Planned Action | Measures of success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work-life Balance |  | Late afternoon meeting scheduled | Develop policy to schedule meetings between 10:00 am - 4:00 pm unless prior notice of at least one week is given | Policy drafted and adopted. Increase in satisfaction reported by academics |
|  |  | Lack of transparency regarding Workload Allocation Junior level female staff spend higher percentage of their time working on administrative duties | Develop \& Pilot a Workload Model which is fair and transparent in one faculty. Apply Model with lessons learned to all faculties | Model drafted and adopted |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}}{0}$ | Undeveloped maternity/paternity leave policy | Develop maternity/paternity leave policy where all genders are presented. Currently, the law is followed but items not specified in the law are variably interpreted | Policy drafted and adopted |


|  |  |  | Convert rooms on campus for use by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Perhaps younger babies (less than 1 year) can be left there? We need to explore this option as it is needed for both staff and students. Otherwise, we need more flexibility and support for parents that return to work before child is one year old

Rooms introduced on campus. At least one for mothers (feeding and expressing milk) and one for all genders for changing and feeding. Child care policy considered.
Flexible hours considered for parents that return to work before child is one year old. Keep-intouch whilst on leave channels considered and effective communication practice established. Returning mother report satisfaction with integration to work upon giving birth.

| SAGE <br> Quadrant | SAGE <br> Theme | Issues to be addressed | Planned Action | Measures of success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutional Governance |  | Capacities for GEP creation and implementation | Unconscious bias training for GEP Team | GEP Team members trained |
|  |  | Capacities for GEP creation and implementation | Inclusive Representation on GEP team | GEP Team inclusive |
|  |  | No Vice-Rector for Gender Equality | Appoint Vice-Rector for Gender Equality | Vice-Rector for Gender Equality appointed |
|  |  | No office for Gender Equality | Establishment of Gender Equality Function | A person designated for (gender) equality at university appointed |
|  |  | Gendered data not easily available | Monitor gender ratio at all grades | Gendered data available across administrative offices |
|  |  | Lack of knowledge regarding reason for staff departures and any associated gender differences | Introduce exit survey and/or interviews to make information available | Procedure of introduced. HR keeps record. Vice-Rector for Gender Equality and officer review annually |
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|  |  | Gender imbalance among Deans and Head of Departments | Review of female-male ratios | Gender balance increased by promoting or recruiting excellent female academicians. Target 40\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No formal management training for Deans | Unconscious bias training | All deans completed training |
|  |  | Women - less interested in position of Rector or ViceRector | Leadership training for women | At least 10 female members completed the training |
|  |  | Women observed and experienced sexist remark | Gender-proof policies. Introduce a bullying/harassment policy which will introduce a designated "contact person" that alleged victims can speak with | Policy developed, reduction in observation and experience of sexist remarks reported |
|  |  | Women served less frequently on committees | Unconscious bias training for management <br> Evaluate and revise the committee structures to make it possible for women to participate in committees more (target 40\%) | Training organized for management <br> Committee structures examined to include greater female participation |
|  |  | Gender Pay Gap | Annual gender pay gap review established | Annual review policy established |
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|  |  | Lack of awareness of gender issues among committee members | Unconscious bias training for committee and potential committee members | Training organized for (potential) committee members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Equality policy does not exist at the university | Establish University Equality Policy | Equality policy established |
|  |  | Low \% of international female undergraduate students | Review recruitment procedure for international students and introduce actions to mitigate | Procedure examined to attract more female students and actions taken (target 40\%) |
|  |  | No gender equality embedded in Strategic Plan | Gender equality embedded in IUS's strategic plan, KPIs or equivalentmission/vision statements, etc. | Include gender equality in strategic plan and all actions that follow from it |
|  |  | Current staff induction processes are inadequate | Establish induction process for new staff | Booklet produced (both hard copy and an online version), induction planned (orientation sessions attended by new appointees) |
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| SAGE <br> Quadrant | SAGE Theme | Issues to be addressed | Planned Action | Measures of success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnGendering Knowledge |  | Not enough gendered knowledge in curriculum | Integration of gender knowledge into teaching all disciplines by providing at least two weeks teaching chosen discipline with the gendered prospective | All study programs have introduced at least two weeks of gender knowledge in required courses |
|  |  | Lack of gender component in research | Training on applying to research funding that includes practice of including gender in all disciplines research | Academicians aware of importance of gender components across disciplines |

