



MASTER

STUDY RULES

FOR THE SECOND
CYCLE STUDIES

DATE: SEPTEMBER 2025
ACADEMIC YEAR: 2025/2026
NUMBER: IUS-SENAT-11-2866/25



**INTERNATIONAL
UNIVERSITY OF SARAJEVO**

According to the Article 61, Paragraph (1), Clause k) of the Law on Higher Education (“Official Gazette of Sarajevo Canton”, issue 36/22, 28/25), and the Article 121 of the IUS Statute, issue IUS-SENAT-11-3326/2024 dated October 22nd, 2024, the Senate of the International University of Sarajevo, on its 192nd session held on September 26th, 2025, adopted the following

**STUDY RULES
FOR THE SECOND CYCLE STUDIES**

**Article 1
(Definitions)**

Academic Advisor	A professor who acts as an advisor until the Faculty Council appoints the official mentor for the student.
Mentor	A person appointed as such by the procedure defined in these study rules.
Co-mentor	A person appointed as such by the procedure defined in these study rules.
Academic Master’s Program	A research-oriented master’s program that prepares students to plan, organize, and conduct research.
Professional Master’s Program	A profession-oriented master’s program that prepares students for a professional career.
Defense Committee	The committee responsible for assessing the master’s thesis/project, and before which the defense shall take place.
Master’s thesis/project defense	The public session of the master’s thesis/project defense committee, in which the students defend their master’s thesis/project to be awarded a master’s degree.
Graduate Council	A permanent body of IUS related to the second- and third-cycle studies at IUS.
Graduate Office	An administrative unit responsible for daily business concerning graduate students and support for the Graduate Council.
Program Council	An academic unit composed of the academic staff of the study program.
Program Coordinator	A professor authorized to decide on behalf of the Program Council when a majority vote on a related subject matter is reached.

Article 2
(Organization of the Graduate Council)

- 1) The Graduate Council is a permanent body of IUS related to the second- and third-cycle studies at IUS.
- 2) The Graduate Council is responsible for:
 - a. Continuously reviewing and making recommendations regarding the quality and nature of graduate studies, policies, and standards.
 - b. Evaluating and making decisions regarding different appeals.
- 3) Graduate Council members are the Graduate Council Director, representatives from Faculties, and the Vice-Rector for Academic Activities and Students' Affairs as a representative of the Rectorate. All members have voting rights.
- 4) The Graduate Council shall meet at least two times per semester. If necessary, GC meetings can be more frequent.
- 5) The Graduate Council shall meet with the students once a year, preferably in the Spring Semester.
- 6) Decisions of the Graduate Council are adopted by a simple majority of its voting members.
- 7) The Senate appoints and dismisses the Graduate Council Director every four years, with the possibility of reappointment based on the Rector's proposal.
- 8) The Graduate Council Director organizes and presides over Graduate Council meetings.
- 9) Upon previous written authorization by the Graduate Council, the Graduate Council Director can be given the right to decide on behalf of the Graduate Council on specific subject matters.
- 10) At the end of each semester, the Graduate Council Director, with the approval of the Graduate Council, provides the Rectorate with a written report regarding the activities.
- 11) The Faculty Council appoints representatives from faculties on the dean's proposal for four years with the possibility of reappointment.
- 12) The relevant Faculty Council can dismiss representatives from faculties on the proposal of the Graduate Council Director.
- 13) The Graduate Office supports the work of the Graduate Council and does day-to-day business concerning graduate students.

Article 3
(Scope of Second Cycle Studies)

- 1) The second-cycle studies (hereinafter: Master's Program) is structured as either a one-year (60 ECTS credits) or a two-year (120 ECTS credits) program, with the total value being a minimum of 300 ECTS credits when combined with the first cycle.
- 2) The second-cycle studies can include academic and professional master's program tracks (hereinafter: academic/professional master's program) or at least one of them.
- 3) Students should have an excellent command of the English language, both in written and spoken form, to be eligible to attend master's studies.
- 4) Students prove their English language proficiency in accordance with IUS Regulations

and enrollment announcements for the particular year.

- 5) Exchange students are exempted from the obligation stipulated in the previous paragraph.
- 6) After completing master's studies, an academic degree of master's (in a related field) is obtained, which is regulated by the Book of Rules on Using Academic Titles and Obtaining Scientific and Professional Titles at Higher Education Institutions in Sarajevo Canton.

Article 4 (Professional Master's Program – 60 ECTS)

- 1) The Professional Master's Program aims to prepare students for successful professional careers in the dynamic and often interdisciplinary environment of the modern labor market.
- 2) A Professional Master's Program requires the completion of 60 ECTS in total, consisting of eight courses (48 ECTS) and a professional project which carries 12 ECTS.
- 3) The professional project must satisfy the following criteria:
 - a. Demonstration of a systematic understanding and mastery of knowledge in the field of study/discipline.
 - b. Application of knowledge, understanding, and problem-solving abilities in new or unfamiliar environments within broader (or multidisciplinary) contexts related to their field of study.
- 4) Master's students must attend courses following IUS rules applied to undergraduate studies.
- 5) Master's students can take at most three undergraduate courses, with the condition that they have not taken the courses before while registered in undergraduate programs. The exact number and selection of courses are determined by the program curriculum.
- 6) A student who successfully completes required coursework must prepare, submit, and defend a master's project in order to receive a master's degree. The project must be duly prepared in line with the Manual adopted by the IUS Senate.

Article 5 (Academic Master's Program – 60 ECTS)

- 1) The Academic Master's Program is a research-oriented program in which students are required to plan, organize, and conduct research in order to graduate. The program requires a master's thesis to be presented and successfully defended.
- 2) The Academic Master's Program requires completion of 60 ECTS in total, consisting of six courses (36 ECTS) and a master's thesis, which carries 24 ECTS.
- 3) The master's thesis must satisfy the following criteria:
 - a. Demonstrate a scientific approach in the research area;
 - b. Use a scientific/artistic methodology/approach in the thesis work;
 - c. Obtain new results and/or outputs by applying the scientific methodology.
- 4) During master's studies, the student can take up to 50% of the courses from the same Professor.
- 5) Master's students must attend the courses following the IUS rules applied to bachelor's studies.
- 6) Master's students can take at most three undergraduate courses, with the condition that

they have not taken the courses before while registered in undergraduate programs. The exact number and selection of courses are determined by the program curriculum.

- 7) The student who completes the required coursework must prepare, submit, and defend a thesis to receive a master's degree. The thesis must be duly prepared in line with the Manual adopted by the IUS Senate.

Article 6 (Academic Master's Program – 120 ECTS)

- 1) The Academic Master's Program is a research-oriented program in which students are required to plan, organize, and conduct research in order to graduate. The program requires a master's thesis to be presented and successfully defended.
- 2) The 120 ECTS thesis-based master's program consists of a minimum of 6 MA-level courses that carry at least 36 ECTS, a Master's Thesis worth 24 ECTS, while the remaining ECTS is achieved through a combination of different courses or activities defined by the program curriculum (e.g., graduate/undergraduate courses, thesis proposal, research or artistic activities, seminars, internships, or other activities outlined in the curriculum).
- 3) The master's thesis must satisfy the following criteria:
 - a. Demonstrate a scientific approach in the research area;
 - b. Use a scientific/artistic methodology/approach in the thesis work;
 - c. Obtain new results and/or outputs by applying the scientific methodology.
- 4) During master's studies, the student can take up to 50% of the courses from the same Professor.
- 5) Master's students must attend the courses following the IUS rules applied to bachelor's studies.
- 6) A student who successfully completes required coursework must prepare, submit, and defend a master's project in order to receive a master's degree. The project must be duly prepared in line with the Manual adopted by the IUS Senate.

Article 7 (Mentor)

- 1) The master's student chooses a mentor from the body of IUS professors (assistant professors, associate professors, full professors, and professor emeritus).
- 2) The mentor should be from the field or a related field of the intended topic.
- 3) The mentor must hold a Ph.D. in scientific areas, while in artistic areas, the mentor can hold a master's degree.
- 4) Students may contact an IUS professor directly to express interest in working on a specific research topic. A second mentor can be appointed to act as a co-mentor using the same procedure and terms applied to the appointment of the mentor.
- 5) Exceptionally, the mentor or co-mentor can be a Ph.D. holder from outside of IUS for scientific areas or a master's holder for artistic areas with or without academic affiliation, provided that the student submits a letter of consent from the proposed mentor/co-mentor and his/her eligibility for mentorship.
- 6) In the case of an external mentor, one of the IUS professors from the field/related field of

the intended topic, with the consent of the program council, must be appointed as a co-mentor.

- 7) Close family members with affinity up to and including the fourth degree or other parties with a close relationship to the master's student will be considered as not eligible to act as mentor or co-mentor.

Article 8 (Appointment of a mentor)

- 1) If an agreement between the professor and the student is reached, the Mentor Proposal Form is signed by both and submitted to the Graduate Office, which forwards it to the Program Council.
- 2) After the approval of the Program Council, the request is then submitted to the Faculty Council for final approval and appointment.
- 3) A request for a mentor/co-mentor appointment must be submitted to the Graduate Office within the first semester of master's studies.
- 4) Students are required to submit their thesis/project proposal, accompanied by the mentor's consent, to the Graduate Office by the end of the first semester. IUS Ethical Council approval is required if experimental work is related to humans or animals.
- 5) If the above procedure is not followed, the Program Council proposes a mentor in line with the procedure from the previous item.

Article 9 (Mentor/Co-mentor role and responsibilities)

- 1) The mentor supervises a master's student throughout the entire study. There will be regular consultations between the student and his/her mentor. The supervision aims to ensure that:
 - a. The master's study is conducted in accordance with IUS rules and regulations;
 - b. The master's thesis/project is submitted and approved within a reasonable time after the beginning of the graduate study;
 - c. No plagiarism is present in any of the work of a master's student;
 - d. The master's student follows and successfully completes all the requirements of the Study Program;
 - e. All relevant reports and agreements made are submitted to the Graduate Office.
- 2) If a co-mentor has been appointed, the duties and authority described in these Regulations will be exercised jointly by the mentor and co-mentor. In that case, the use of the term "mentor" in these Regulations will also include the co-mentor where applicable.
- 3) In cases where the mentor is an external academic, the co-mentor shall assume all administrative duties and responsibilities.

Article 10 (Mentor change)

- 1) A master's student can request a mentor or co-mentor change by writing an appeal and explaining the reasons for the change. The current and the new proposed mentor must sign the request. The form is submitted to the Graduate Office, which forwards it to the Faculty

Council for the appointment procedure.

- 2) In case of dispute and possible refusal of the mentor to sign the appeal, and in case the student requests the change of her/his mentor for the second time, the student submits the form accompanied by an explanation to the Graduate Office, which forwards it to the Graduate Council. The Graduate Office shall request a written explanation from the mentor and forward it to the Graduate Council within seven days, together with the student's request.
- 3) The student can change a mentor/co-mentor only once during studies. The Graduate Council can approve the exception to this rule if an exceptional situation occurs (such as death, retirement, or leave of mentor/co-mentor, etc.).

Article 11 (Termination of Mentorship or Committee Membership)

- 1) A professor acting as a mentor, co-mentor, or committee member may request termination of this role by submitting a written request with justification to the Graduate Office.
- 2) In case of mentor and co-mentor termination requests, the Program Council shall notify the students of the request and invite them to propose a replacement within 15 days. The Program Council shall verify the eligibility and availability of the proposed professor and forward the proposal to the Faculty Council for approval.
 - a. The Faculty Council shall appoint a replacement upon recommendation of the Program Council and update the student's record.
- 3) If a committee member requests termination of their membership, the request must be submitted in writing with clear justification to the Graduate Office. The Program Council shall review the request. If the justification is found valid, the Mentor shall propose a replacement member. The appointment of the replacement member shall follow the same procedure as the original committee formation.
- 4) In cases where a Program Council has not been established or is not operational, all responsibilities and decision-making competencies normally assigned to the Program Council shall be exercised by the Faculty Council.

Article 12 (Defense Committee)

- 1) The Defense Committee is appointed by the Faculty Council upon the proposal of the mentor at the earliest three months after the mentor appointment or one month after the mentor change, and at least:
 - a. One month before the master's thesis defense or
 - b. Two weeks before the master's project defense.
- 2) The committee members must hold Ph.D.'s in scientific areas, while they can hold master's degrees in artistic areas.
- 3) To start the procedure, the mentor submits to the Graduate Office the following:
 - a. Master's thesis/project,
 - b. Similarity index report, and
 - c. Master's thesis/project Committee Appointment Request form.

- 4) The Graduate Office shall check whether all criteria (ECTS obtained, required courses passed) have been met and then forward the request to the Faculty Council.
 - a. In exceptional cases, the defense committee can be appointed before completing the above-mentioned criteria.
- 5) The Defense Committee consists of 3 or 5 members, one of whom is the student's mentor/co-mentor.¹
 - a. If the Committee consists of three members, then only a mentor or co-mentor can be a member of the Committee.
 - b. In the event that the Committee consists of five members, then the mentor and co-mentor jointly can be members of the Committee.
 - c. In both cases, the IUS professor must be added to the committee as a substitute member. The substitute member must be available on-call until ten minutes before the time of the defense and will only be part of the Committee if one member is unable to take part in the defense.
- 6) The submission deadlines are regulated through the Academic Calendar, which needs to be followed by the mentor and the students. Moreover, if the mentor/student misses the deadline, an appeal with the reason should be submitted to the Graduate Council, which then decides if the reasons are valid or if further evaluation is needed from the Faculty Council.

Article 13
(Assessment of the Thesis/Project by the Defense Committee)

- 1) The mentor is responsible for submitting the final version of the thesis/project, along with the plagiarism report, to all committee members who have given their consent to serve on the committee.
- 2) From the date of appointment, committee members shall have up to one month to evaluate the thesis/project and provide written comments on the work.
- 3) All comments shall be delivered to the mentor, who is responsible for communicating them to the candidate. The mentor shall set a deadline for the candidate to address the comments. Requested changes may be addressed either by incorporating them into the revised thesis/project or by providing a reasonable explanation for why the change has not been made.
- 4) The revised thesis/project shall only be forwarded to the committee once the mentor has confirmed that the candidate has adequately addressed all comments.
- 5) After the candidate fulfills the requested corrections, the mentor and committee members agree that the candidate is ready to defend his or her thesis publicly.
- 6) The mentor schedules the public defense by submitting the digital form provided by the Graduate Office with all necessary details.

¹ Whenever possible, the Defense Committee shall include at least one external member who is not employed at the International University of Sarajevo. The external member should hold relevant academic or professional qualifications in the field of the thesis/project. The inclusion of an external member is recommended to strengthen the objectivity, transparency, and academic quality of the evaluation process.

Article 14
(Public Defense Process and Final Evaluation)

- 1) Master's thesis/project defense must include a final presentation, which is open to the public.
- 2) Organizing online and live presentations via different platforms and programs is possible in exceptional cases.
 - a. The request for an online defense, along with its justification, is submitted by the mentor to the Graduate Office.
 - b. The Graduate Office forwards the request to the Graduate Council, where the final decision is made.
- 3) The time and venue are announced on the IUS website at least seven days before the defense.
 - a. When organizing a defense via online and live platforms or programs, the audience who wishes to attend the defense will be provided with the link to ensure their access.
 - i. In case of an online defense, the Graduate Office creates a link.
 - ii. The mentor starts the recording of the online defense.
 - iii. The recording is downloaded and stored on OneDrive by the Graduate Office.
- 4) The thesis/project defense shall be opened by the Chair of the Committee, who introduces the candidate and the thesis/project topic, presents the members of the Committee, and outlines the procedure of the defense. The candidate then delivers a presentation (maximum 30 minutes). This is followed by questions and discussion with the committee members, after which the audience may also direct questions to the candidate.
- 5) At the end of the defense, the committee holds a closed session and evaluates both the **written thesis/project** and public defense as follows:
 - A. The **written part** may be evaluated as:
 - i. **Successful**
 - ii. **Successful with minor corrections** - if the student successfully wrote and defended the thesis/project, but the committee concludes that the student needs to make some small technical corrections in the text of the paper, such as small formatting corrections, correcting minor typographical errors, or other corrections that do not extend to the content of the thesis/project.
 - a) For this type of decision, the committee will give the student up to one month to make necessary corrections, after which the committee will verify whether the thesis/project is corrected in compliance with the committee's remarks.
 - b) If the final version of the thesis/project is still not in compliance with the committee's remarks, then the committee will decide on a time extension for the student to make all required corrections until the thesis is corrected to the committee's satisfaction. In this case, if the new semester has started, the student must register again for a thesis/project.
 - c) If the corrected thesis/project complies with the committee's remarks, then the committee will replace the decision "Successful with minor corrections" with the decision "Successful" and process it in accordance with IUS procedures.

d) The student will not be required to defend the thesis/project again, and the grade will be entered in the semester in which he or she defended the thesis/project.

iii. **Successful with major corrections** - if the student successfully wrote a work, but the committee concludes that the student needs to make corrections to the thesis/project, including the content of the thesis/project. These corrections include changing one or more chapters, modifying parts of the thesis/project, performing additional work to correct deficiencies, further analysis, etc.

- a) For this type of decision, the committee will give the student up to three months to make necessary corrections, after which the student will have another defense. If a student is not ready to defend the thesis/project in the given period, the committee can extend the set deadline for another three months.
- b) The student has to register for a thesis/project course in the semester(s) in which he/she is making required corrections and repeating the thesis/project defense.
- c) In the second thesis/project defense, the committee can evaluate the work only as “Successful”, “Successful with minor corrections”, or “Unsuccessful”. In the case of “Unsuccessful”, the student will be dismissed from the University.

iv. **Unsuccessful**

B. The **public defense** may be evaluated as:

- i. **Successful**
- ii. **Unsuccessful**

6) The outcome shall be determined as follows:

a. If the written part is Successful, the public defense can be evaluated as Successful or Unsuccessful.

b. If the written part is Successful with Minor Corrections, the public defense can be evaluated as Successful or Unsuccessful.

c. If the written part is Successful with Major Corrections, the public defense can only be evaluated as Unsuccessful.

d. If the written part is Unsuccessful, the public defense can only be evaluated as Unsuccessful.

7) If the written part is evaluated as Successful with major corrections, or Unsuccessful, and if public defense is evaluated as “Unsuccessful,” the committee shall grant the student up to three (3) months to prepare for a repeated defense.

i. The student must register for the thesis/project course in the semester(s) during which the oral defense is repeated.

ii. If the second public defense is also evaluated as “Unsuccessful,” the student shall be dismissed from the University.

8) The mentor shall submit the final defense report to the Graduate Office within five working days of the defense.

Article 15
(After the Defense)

- 1) Prior to printing and submitting the final version of the thesis/project, the candidate must ensure that the document has been thoroughly grammar-checked.
- 2) The thesis/project must be formatted in full accordance with the Graduate Manual before printing. The mentor shall confirm that requirements under this Article have been fulfilled before the thesis/project is delivered for binding and archiving.
- 3) Upon successful defense of the thesis/project, the student must submit both hard and digital copies as follows:
 - a. Graduate Office (digital version – via email),
 - b. Library (both a hard copy and digital version – via email),
 - c. Mentor (as requested),
 - i. If a member of the Defense Committee requires a hard copy of the thesis/project, the graduate student must deliver an additional one.
- 4) If a thesis/project is submitted to the Graduate Office, but the Graduate Council Officer identifies irregularities in the technical aspects of the submission, the thesis will be returned to the Graduate Council for review. Upon reassessment, the Graduate Council will determine the necessary corrective actions and inform the dean of the respective faculty of the further steps to be taken to resolve the identified issues.

Article 16
(Plagiarism Issues and Suspension of Student)

- 1) IUS is committed to “Zero Tolerance” for plagiarism. This means that no work of others can be used as one’s own without proper citation. The mentor and defense committee are supposed to check and ensure that this policy has been followed. The definition of plagiarism and common forms are provided as an addendum to these rules.
- 2) If plagiarism is detected, a mentor or defense committee should report the case directly to the Graduate Office. The report will be forwarded to the Graduate Council.
- 3) If the Graduate Council confirms the plagiarism, the master's student will be suspended for one year.
- 4) In addition, 20% similarity will be applied, excluding cover pages, materials and methods, quotations, and the reference list.
- 5) If the software detects more than 20% similarity, the Graduate Office will reject the thesis/project submission.

Article 17
(Special Preparation Package)

- 1) A Special Preparation Package (SPP) is a program applied when a student does not have a sufficient number of ECTS credits, which is required for the student to be eligible to enroll in master’s studies.
- 2) SPP is implemented through a concept consisting of three groups of courses from which the

students and their academic advisor select the best model to achieve the ultimate goal of 240 ECTS. The concept is presented in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Special Preparation Package

Article 18
(Groups of courses for Special Preparation Package)

- 1) **Group 1:** Master's courses according to the curriculum in force and an application for the relevant study program in that academic year. The minimum number that students must take from this group is 60 ECTS, including compulsory courses and a master's thesis.
- 2) **Group 2:** Bachelor's courses, preferably from the third and fourth years. In exceptional cases, students may take freshman and sophomore courses. Students cannot attend a course they have already passed.
- 3) **Group 3:** SPP courses have been created for this group at the level of university, faculties, or programs. The group includes three courses, each of which carries 12 ECTS credits:
 - a. *SP5xx Professional development (12 ECTS)*—This course is designed to familiarize the student with the selected field of study, help them define the specific area of interest, and find mutual agreements with mentors at an early stage. It consists of seminars designed by the relevant program that cover the main contemporary research topics.
 - b. *SP5xx Internship (12 ECTS)* – This internship is designed to introduce graduate students to various work environments and to improve their skills to meet job requirements. The main objective is to have the students face situations they could find in the workplace and ask them to find different ways of handling these situations. The activities within the internship are field-specific. In the case of active employment, the program outlines specific projects or activities to be carried out as part of the internship.
 - c. *SP5xx Research activity (12 ECTS)* – The research/scientific activity is designed to ensure that students can perform a large amount of scientific work on their own in the future. The primary objective of this activity is to familiarize students with the tools and approaches necessary to implement a research activity addressing a specific problem within their field. The research problems are field-specific and may be incorporated into the final research project. The course should end with either a project proposal defense or an academic publication. Each student has to have a mentor before registering for the course.

Article 19
(Procedure for enrollment in a Special Preparation Package)

- 1) After applying for enrollment to the master's studies with the necessary documentation, the number of ECTS credits acquired by the student in the bachelor's studies is determined.
- 2) The transcript is analyzed, and shortcomings are noticed. The program should assess the education acquired and compliance with the program's requirements in the master's studies.
- 3) A model from the previous article is created for the student. The model includes courses from one group or a combination of courses from different groups (groups of items are explained individually in the paragraph below). The above model aims to find courses that best suit the student's educational background.
- 4) If a student from a different field of study wishes to enroll in a master's program in another field, the respective program reserves the right to evaluate the candidate's qualifications and either approve or decline the application. In the case of a positive evaluation, the program will determine the number of additional preparatory bachelor's courses the student must take. This will be formalized through the completion of the form F79A, which outlines the necessary courses required for successful enrollment into the program.

Article 20
(Transfer between Master's Programs Tracks)

- 1) Transfer applications between Professional Master's Programs and Academic Master's Program tracks are accepted with the mentor's approval.
- 2) In Study Programs where both tracks are applied, the students are enrolled in the Professional Master's Program by default.
- 3) To transfer to the Academic Master's Program track, the student must complete at least one semester in the Professional Master's Program track and meet the respective program's requirements.
- 4) In this case, the passed courses are transferred to the new master's program track.

Article 21
(Termination of Student Status)

- 1) The student's status is terminated in the following circumstances:
 - a. Completion of studies.
 - b. Withdrawal from IUS.
 - c. Expulsion from IUS in the procedure and under conditions stipulated by law or another appropriate IUS general act.
 - d. When the student fails to complete the studies in the timeframe stipulated by the Law, Statute, these rules, or IUS general acts.
 - e. In any other circumstances stipulated by the Law, Statute, these rules, or IUS general acts.

Article 22
(Transitional and Final Provisions)

- 1) In matters concerning the organization of studies, teaching methods, and students' rights and obligations during their studies—where not otherwise specified in these study rules—the provisions of the First Cycle Study Rules or IUS by-laws shall apply.
- 2) These rules enter into force and become effective as of the 2025-2026 Academic year.
- 3) These study rules extend their validation to any of the previously missing or wrongly implemented study procedures if it appears that these rules are more favorable or flexible to the student than those that were in effect when enrolled. In such case, it will be considered an automatic legal validation of the related procedural step, upon the condition that it complies at least with these rules.
- 4) Forms that are used to facilitate proper implementation of procedures set in these rules are given in the addendum to these Rules, and they constitute their integral part. All the relevant forms can be found on the IUS Graduate Office web page.
- 5) On the day these rules became effective, the Study Rules for Second-Cycle Studies number: IUS-SENAT-11-3085/24 dated September 2024 and other relevant acts, are no longer in force.

CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE

Prof. Dr. Ali Osman Kuşakcı

ADDENDUM TO STUDY RULES FOR SECOND AND THIRD STUDY CYCLE

The following definitions are taken from the University of Oxford (2023):

<https://www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/guidance/skills/plagiarism#:~:text=Plagiarism%20is%20presenting%20someone%20else's,is%20covered%20under%20this%20definition.>

PLAGIARISM DEFINITION

Plagiarism is presenting someone else's work or ideas as your own, with or without their consent, by incorporating it into your work without full acknowledgement. All published and unpublished material, whether in manuscript, printed or electronic form, is covered under this definition. Plagiarism may be intentional or reckless, or unintentional. Under the regulations for examinations, intentional or reckless plagiarism is a disciplinary offence.

Verbatim (word for word) quotation without clear acknowledgement

Quotations must always be identified as such by the use of either quotation marks or indentation, and with full referencing of the sources cited. It must always be apparent to the reader which parts are your own independent works and where you have drawn on someone else's ideas and language.

Cutting and pasting from the Internet without clear acknowledgement

Information derived from the Internet must be adequately referenced and included in the bibliography.

It is important to evaluate carefully all material found on the Internet, as it is less likely to have been through the same process of scholarly peer review as published sources.

Paraphrasing

Paraphrasing the work of others by altering a few words and changing their order, or by closely following the structure of their argument, is plagiarism if you do not give due acknowledgement to the author whose work you are using.

A passing reference to the original author in your own text may not be enough; you must ensure that you do not create the misleading impression that the paraphrased wording or the sequence of ideas are entirely your own. It is better to write a brief summary of the author's overall argument in your own words, indicating that you are doing so, than to paraphrase particular sections of his or her writing. This will ensure you have a genuine grasp of the argument and will avoid the difficulty of paraphrasing without plagiarizing. You must also properly attribute all material you derive from lectures.

Collusion

This can involve unauthorized collaboration between students, failure to attribute assistance received, or failure to follow precisely regulations on group work projects. It is your responsibility to ensure that you are entirely clear about the extent of collaboration permitted, and which parts of the work must be your own.

Inaccurate citation

It is important to cite correctly, according to the conventions of your discipline. As well as listing your sources (i.e. in a bibliography), you must indicate, using a footnote or an in-text reference, where a quoted passage comes from. Additionally, you should not include anything in your references or bibliography that you have not actually consulted. If you cannot gain access to a primary source you must make it clear in your citation that your knowledge of the work has been derived from a secondary

text (for example, Bradshaw, D. Title of Book, discussed in Wilson, E., Title of Book (London, 2004), p. 189).

Failure to acknowledge assistance

You must clearly acknowledge all assistance which has contributed to the production of your work, such as advice from fellow students, laboratory technicians, and other external sources.

This need not apply to the assistance provided by your tutor or supervisor, or to ordinary proofreading, but it is necessary to acknowledge other guidance which leads to substantive changes of content or approach.

Use of material written by professional agencies or other persons

You should neither make use of professional agencies in the production of your work nor submit material which has been written for you even with the consent of the person who has written it. It is vital to your intellectual training and development that you should undertake the research process unaided. Under Statute XI on University Discipline, all members of the University are prohibited from providing material that could be submitted in an examination by students at this University or elsewhere.

Auto-plagiarism

You must not submit work for assessment that you have already submitted (partially or in full), either for your current course or for another qualification of this, or any other, university, unless this is specifically provided for in the special regulations for your course. Where earlier work by you is citable, i.e. it has already been published, you must reference it clearly. **Identical pieces of work submitted concurrently will also be considered to be auto-plagiarism.**