

INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SARAJEVO
Internacionalni univerzitet u Sarajevu

No. IUS-SENAT-11-1154/2018

**STUDY RULES
FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES AT
INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SARAJEVO**

May 2018

Pursuant to Article 56, Clause 1 of the Law on Higher Education ("Official Gazette of Sarajevo Canton", issue 33/17), Senate of the International University of Sarajevo (hereinafter: the Senate), on its 99 session held on May 25, 2018, brought the following

**STUDY RULES
FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES
AT THE
INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SARAJEVO**

I GENERAL PROVISIONS

**Article 1
(Introduction)**

(1) These Rules specify the organization and delivery of doctoral studies at the International University of Sarajevo (**hereinafter: the Rules**).

(2) The following definitions apply to the terms used in these Rules:

Academic advisor	<i>Professor who acts as an advisor until the Faculty Council appoints the official mentor for the student</i>
Mentor	<i>Professor appointed as such by the procedure defined in these Study Rules.</i>
Co-mentor:	<i>Person appointed by the Faculty Council to work with the mentor in supervising the doctoral candidate</i>
Doctoral Program:	<i>Doctoral education/training program that is compulsory for doctoral candidates and covers the period from registration to the successfully completed doctoral defense</i>
Doctoral candidate:	<i>Person registered as such at IUS and following a doctoral Program</i>
Doctoral Qualification Exam Committee:	<i>Committee appointed by the Senate for the purpose of organizing and executing the doctoral qualification exam</i>
Qualification exam:	<i>Examination held after completion of all doctoral course work</i>
Doctoral Supervision committee:	<i>Committee, appointed by the Senate, appointed for the purpose of evaluating progress of the doctoral study</i>
Doctoral defense committee:	<i>Committee, appointed by the Senate, before which the doctoral defense will take place</i>
Doctoral defense:	<i>Public session of the doctoral committee in which the doctoral candidate defends his/her dissertation in order to be awarded a PhD degree</i>

**Doctoral (PhD)
dissertation:**

Draft of the doctoral dissertation that is submitted from student for assessment by the Doctoral committee - after being approved by the mentor

Graduate Office:

Support office of IUS responsible for day-to-day business concerning doctorates and which supports the Graduate Council

**Article 2
(Doctoral study program)**

Any study program which entitles its graduate to a PhD degree is considered to be the doctoral study program, in accordance with the Law, these Rules and the Book of Rules on Using Academic Titles and Obtaining Scientific and Professional Titles at Higher Education Institutions in Sarajevo Canton.


**Article 3
(Language of studies)**

Doctoral courses are conducted in English language.

II ORGANIZATION OF THE GRADUATE COUNCIL

**Article 4
(Graduate Council)**

- (1) Graduate Council is a permanent body of IUS responsible for execution of master and doctoral studies at IUS.
- (2) Graduate Council is run and headed by its Director.
- (3) Other members of the Graduate Council are representatives from Faculties.



Schematic depiction of Graduate Council organization

- (4) Graduate Council Director (GCD) is appointed by the Senate on Rector's proposal for the period of four years with the possibility for reappointment.
- (5) Director can be dismissed by the Senate on the Rector's proposal.
- (6) Representatives from Faculties are appointed by the Faculty Council on Dean's proposal for the period of four years with the possibility for reappointment.
- (7) Representatives from Faculties can be dismissed by the respected Faculty Council on the proposal of Graduate Council Director.
- (8) Graduate Office supports work of Graduate Council and does day-to-day business concerning Master and PhD students.

III ENROLLMENT IN DOCTORAL STUDIES

Article 5 (Determination of Quotas and Announcement)

- (1) The Program Councils propose quotas for the second study cycle (PhD) to respective Faculty Councils and then the Faculty council proposes the to the Graduate Council number of students to enroll the second study cycle before each academic year. Upon approval of the Graduate Council and the Founder, Senate gives the final approval of quotas for graduate studies which is a base for a public announcement of graduate studies.

Article 6 (Eligibility for enrolment)

- (1) Candidates who have completed relevant undergraduate and master programs and acquired at least 300 ECTS credits are eligible to enroll in the first year of the doctoral studies, as well as candidates who have completed a relevant post-graduate program prior to the implementation of the Bologna principles.

Article 7 (Command of English language)

- (1) To be eligible to enroll in the doctoral studies, candidates should have excellent command of English language, both written and spoken. Candidates prove their English language proficiency in accordance with IUS Regulations and announcement for enrollment.
- (2) Guest students are exempted from obligations stipulated in previous paragraph.
- (3) Students without sufficient command of English language can chose to attend English Language School (ELS) and sit for the Proficiency exam after completion of the ELS course.

Article 8 (Evaluation of Applicants)

- (1) The Program Councils review and rank candidates who apply in accordance with the announcement for the doctoral studies, by strictly applying IUS admission regulations

and propose the resolution of any outstanding issue regarding the fulfillment of the IUS rules and regulations. The report with the rank list of the candidates is submitted to the Graduate Council and Dean's Office for approval.

- (2) If Program Council decides that a candidate needs a preparatory program, then it must specify a list of undergraduate and/or graduate courses and a schedule for the registering and passing these courses.
- (3) Successful candidates sign the Study Contract with IUS which specifies their mutual rights and obligations in accordance with the Book of Rules on establishment of the necessary elements of the Study Contract at IUS.

Article 9

(Preliminary lists of admitted candidates)

- (1) Preliminary lists of admitted candidates are publically announced on the IUS notice board and IUS web site.
- (2) If a preparatory program is required, then remark to that fact will be noted on the preliminary list of admitted candidates.
- (3) Candidates who believe that the ranking procedure has not been conducted properly can file an appeal to the relevant Faculty Dean's office.
- (4) In case of previous item, Faculty Dean will ask relevant Program Council to write official report with explanation of criteria used for particular rejection.
- (5) Decision on the appeal is delivered to the appellant.

Article 10

(Admission through transfer)

- (1) Transfer applications from one to other programs in IUS or within universities in Bosnia and Herzegovina are processed in accordance with the transfer regulations at IUS.
- (2) When student applies for transfer from foreign university, then the procedure for equivalency and/or diploma recognition adopted by Sarajevo Canton is implemented.
- (3) All candidates applying for transfer must submit the necessary documentation prior to the beginning of the semester and after completing procedure defined in the Law and general acts of IUS.
- (4) Doctoral Qualification Exam cannot be transferred in the both cases as above.
- (5) The condition for transfer from other higher education institutions to IUS; or between programs in IUS is that the student had successfully completed at least one term in the registered graduate program.
- (6) Equivalency of the graduate or undergraduate diplomas received from a higher education institution in abroad pass through approval by Centre for Information and Recognition of Qualifications in Higher Education (CIP) of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Article 11

(Selection of academic advisor and mentor)

- (1) Upon the enrollment, academic advisor is assigned to student to guide him/her during doctoral studies until the appointment of mentor.
- (2) Doctoral students register courses upon the approval of the academic advisor/mentor.
- (3) Candidate may contact directly the IUS professor that s/he would be interested to work on specific research project. If agreement is established between the professor and candidate that s/he will mentor the candidate during her/his PhD studies, the form is signed by both mentor and candidate and submitted to the Graduate office which forwards it to Graduate Council and subsequently to Faculty Council for final approval.
- (4) In case that the above procedure was not followed, the Graduate Council appoints an academic advisor to the enrolled graduate students on the proposal of the Program Councils. The graduate students must have made agreements with his/her academic advisor on the content of the Graduate Program to be followed before start of the academic year.

IV CHARACTERISTICS OF DOCTORAL PROGRAM

Article 12

(Aim of Doctoral Program)

- (1) The aim of the doctoral program is to enable students to carry out independent scientific research, express their own views in respect of scientific/artistic achievement, and to take all necessary steps to synthesize new scientific/artistic findings.
- (2) A doctoral dissertation must fulfill at least one of the following conditions:
 - a. Demonstrates a new approach in the research area;
 - b. Uses a new scientific/artistic methodology/approach;
 - c. Finds new results and/or outputs by applying new or existing methods;
 - d. Applies an existing method onto a new research area and produces novel scientific findings.
- (3) Doctoral program lasts for three academic years (six semesters), and the workload of the study is valued 180 ECTS study points. Courses and scientific/artistic activity worth 60 ECTS. The doctoral dissertation worth 120 ECTS. The total ECTS value can extend over 180 ECTS if student takes extra course (s).
- (4) Courses should be not less than 48 ECTS and at least 24 ECTS credits must be taken from 600 level courses.
- (5) A student can take two courses at most from the same member of academic staff in a whole graduate

- (6) PhD students must attend to the courses in accordance to the IUS rules that are applied to undergraduate study.
- (7) Student, who does not finish doctoral studies during the three years and additional two semesters, can study at IUS until they lose their student status in one of the ways prescribed in this or other IUS regulations, provided that they pay tuition fees in accordance to their study contract and Foundation decision on tuition fees.

Article 13
(Scientific Activities)

- (1) Scientific activity assumes a research work that results in publication such as a journal article published in a peer review journal (worth 12 ECTS), paper at a conference proceedings (worth 6 ECTS) approved by the Faculty Council, reports in a case of at least one month research visit to other research institution, etc. If scientific activity is other than journal article or conference paper as defined above, the Doctoral Supervision Committee values and assigns credits to the submitted scientific activity.
- (2) Artistic activity (worth 12 ECTS) is defined in the doctoral programs related to art fields and the Doctoral Supervision Committee values and assigns credits to the submitted artistic activity.
- (3) Scientific/artistic activity can be completed anytime before scheduling doctoral defense.
- (4) If the PhD student is from FENS, he/she must publish (or have DOI number) at least one SCImago Q1/Q2 or SCI journal paper from the thesis work to be eligible to defend his/her thesis. At FBA and FASS, he/she must publish (or have DOI number) at least one SSCI journal paper. For artistic fields, some of the papers may be substituted by defined artistic presentations.

V QUALIFYING DOCTORAL EXAMINATION

Article 14
(A qualifying doctoral examination)

- (1) After completion of the course obligations, PhD student has to pass doctoral qualification exam.
- (2) The Doctoral Qualification Exams are organized and executed by Doctoral Qualification Exam Committee assigned by the Senate based on the proposal of the Faculty Council. The Doctoral Qualification Committee consists of three professors including the mentor. One member can be from other universities than IUS.
- (3) The Doctoral Qualification Exam consists of written and oral exam.
- (4) The written exam consists of questions related to three topics. One topic must be related to the field of the candidate's planned doctoral dissertation and weighs 50

percent of the exam. The other two topics weigh 25 percent each and must be chosen based on the courses taken by the candidate.

- (5) Oral exam consists of questions related to the field of the candidate's planned doctoral dissertation and is aiming assessment of the candidate research potential. The oral exam lasts for three hours at most.
- (6) Passing grade for the written exam is 55 percent. Oral exam is graded as "S" (satisfactory) or "U" (unsatisfactory) with simple majority of the Qualification Exam Committee.
- (7) The Doctoral Qualification Committee decides whether the student is successful or has failed on the basis of a majority vote, taking written and oral examination scores into consideration. This final decision will be reported in written to the Graduate Council.
- (8) If student does not get passing grade from the written exam, he/she cannot take the oral exam.
- (9) The student can repeat the written exam within a six-month period.
- (10) If the student does not get 'S' grade from oral exam, it may be repeated within a six month period in front of the same committee.
- (11) The written and oral exams can be taken twice at most.

VI MENTORSHIP

Article 15 (Eligibility for mentorship)

- (1) Student of doctoral study program chooses a mentor/co-mentor for his/her dissertation from the body of IUS professors (assistant professors, associate professors, full professors and professor emeritus) with PhD, for scientific areas.
- (2) Exceptionally, mentor or co-mentor can be a PhD holder from outside of University, with current or past academic affiliation, provided that student submits a letter of consent by the proposed mentor/co-mentor and his/her eligibility for mentorship.
- (3) For PhD student who is doing doctorate in artistic field, mentor can also be MA holder from outside of University, with current or past academic affiliation, provided that student submits a letter of consent by the proposed mentor and his/her eligibility for mentorship.
- (4) Approval of mentor or co-mentor from other university has to be preapproved by IUS Rector.
- (5) In case mentor or co-mentor is appointed from outside of the University, academic staff member from the University has to be appointed as mentor or co-mentor.

- (6) For doctoral dissertation in artistic field two mentors may be appointed: a mentor for artistic project and a mentor for doctoral part of doctoral dissertation. Mentor for artistic project is a full time IUS teacher in artistic-teaching title and mentor for doctoral part of dissertation is set according to the criteria stipulated in this Article.
- (7) Close family members with an affinity up to and including the fourth degree or other persons who have such a relationship to the graduate student that they cannot reasonably be expected to make a judgment will not be eligible to act as mentor or co-mentor.

Article 16

(Procedure for mentor's appointment)

- (1) Student fills the mentor form, with the consent of the mentor, and submits it to the program council.
- (2) Faculty Council appoints mentors on proposal of the Program Council and with an approval of the Graduate Council at the beginning of his/her study but not later than the end of the first semester of the doctoral study.
- (3) If the subject of the dissertation requires more than one mentor, then a co-mentor can be appointed, and the decision thereof is made by the Faculty Council upon proposal by the Program Council.
- (4) A second mentor can be appointed to act as a co-mentor by the same procedure and terms as the mentor appointment.

Article 17

(Mentor's Change)

- (1) A graduate student can make a written appeal for changing a mentor to the Graduate Council. The petition must be signed by the mentor and by a new proposed mentor. In case of dispute and possible refusal of the mentor to sign the appeal, the Graduate Council makes a final decision on the appeal and sends the proposal to the Faculty Council for approval and for the appointment of new mentor.
- (2) If mentor is replaced by another professor, topic of the thesis is changed as well, unless the students submit the declaration signed by the previous (original) mentor that s/he agrees that student can continue working on the same thesis topic/research project with the new mentor.
- (3) Students are allowed to change a mentor/topic only once during their studies. Exception to this rule can be approved by the Faculty Council if an obligatory situation occurs (such as death, retirement, or obligatory leave of the mentor etc).
- (4) Decision on appointment of mentor, co-mentor, as well as decision on changing mentor, is brought by the Faculty Council upon proposal of a student with consent of proposed mentor.

Article 18
(Mentorship issues)

In case that student's mentor leaves University, cease to hold academic appointment, or for any other reason his/her continued mentorship is put in question, Program Coordinator will bring that issue to the Graduate Council for resolution.

Article 19
(Responsibilities of mentor)

- (1) Mentor is responsible for supervising a graduate student throughout the entire doctoral program. If experimental work is related to the humans or animals, IUS Ethical Committee should approve proposed research and experimental work.
- (2) There will be regular consultations between the graduate student and his/her mentor. The supervision is aiming to ensure that:
 - a. Graduate study is conducted in accordance with IUS rules and regulations;
 - b. PhD dissertation is submitted and approved within a reasonable time period after the start of the graduate study;
 - c. No plagiarism or fabrication of results, or any other unethical aspect is present in all forms of graduate students' work;
 - d. Graduate student follows and successfully completes the all requirements of Graduate Program;
 - e. All relevant reports and agreements made are submitted to the Graduate Office;
 - f. Doctoral candidate develops to become a fully-fledged scientific researcher.
- (3) If a co-mentor has been appointed, the duties and authority described in these Regulations will be exercised jointly by mentor and co-mentor. In that case, the use of the term mentor in these Regulations will also include the co-mentor where applicable.

VII RESEARCH PROPOSAL

Article 20
(Approval of Research Proposal)

- (1) At the request of PhD student for the approval of PhD research proposal, mentor will decide if the PhD student has met the requirements stated in this regulation.
- (2) If co-mentor has been appointed, the PhD research proposal will be approved in mutual consultation between them.

- (3) If this consultation does not result in agreement, each mentor will notify the Graduate Council, after which the Graduate Council will take appropriate action.
- (4) The mentor will assess the PhD research proposal by taking into account of the following factors:
 - a. the relevance of the subject;
 - b. the importance of the problem definition and its precise formulation;
 - c. the originality of its treatment;
 - d. the scientific nature of the research: organization, analysis, processing of materials and synthesis;
 - e. the presence of creative suggestions with regard to the area of science treated in the dissertation;
 - f. a critical confrontation of the candidate's conclusions with existing theories or views;
 - g. a balanced structure in the dissertation, clarity of style, correct and appropriate use of language;
 - h. Absence of anything at odds with public order or decency.
- (5) Within two months of receipt of the PhD research proposal, the mentor will decide to approve or not to approve it, unless the PhD student agrees to a longer time period for the decision. If this time period is exceeded, the PhD student can request that the Graduate Council impose a specific deadline by which the mentor must reach a decision concerning approval. The Graduate Council will decide on this within a week of receiving the request.
- (6) The mentor will inform the Graduate Council of his/her approval of the PhD research proposal in writing, together with a proposal for a doctoral committee.
- (7) In the case the mentor refuses to approve the PhD research proposal, Graduate Council can, at the request of the PhD student and after allowing the student and the mentor to present their cases, remove the mentor from his duties. If it judges that the graduate program can still proceed, with amendments to research proposal, the Graduate Council will propose to the Faculty Council to appoint an alternative mentor.
- (8) If, in the situation described in the previous paragraph, the Graduate Council judges that the research proposal cannot be improved even with amendments because its quality is insufficient, the student will be dismissed from the doctoral program.

VIII SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Article 21 (Progress Reports)

- (1) After passing the qualification exam, a doctoral supervision committee is appointed by the Senate based on the proposal of the Faculty Council. The Supervision Committee consists of three professors including the mentor. One member can be from other universities than IUS.
- (2) PhD students are required to have three progress reports approved by the Doctoral Supervision Committee before their final dissertation defense. The progress reports contain the summary of work completed by that point and the plan of work for the next period.
- (3) Assessment of the progress reports is done twice in an academic year. It can be done three times based on the nature of the study by the approval of the Graduate Council.
- (4) The Doctoral Supervision Committees are assigned by the Senate on the proposal of the Faculty Council and it consists of three professors including the mentor. One member can be from other universities than IUS. The Committee makes decision with simple majority vote.
- (5) If a progress report is found unsatisfactory by the Supervision Committee, the PhD student is asked to submit another progress report within a month time.
- (6) If on two consecutive regular report terms the student obtains mark "U" (unsatisfactory), he/she forfeits student status.

IX APPLICATION FOR DOCTORAL DEFENSE

Article 22 (Application for doctoral dissertation defense)

- (1) After the PhD dissertation has been approved by the mentor and the graduate student has successfully completed all requirements of graduate program, the student can apply for the defense to the Graduate Office. For this purpose, he/she must, with the approval of the mentor, submit a request for a provisional defense date.
- (2) The following must be appended to this request:
 - a. The definitive title of the dissertation and a (digital) copy of the PhD dissertation;
 - b. The written statement from the mentor confirming that he has approved the PhD dissertation;
 - c. Notification from Graduate Office that all requirements of Doctoral Program are fulfilled.
 - d. Notification from Graduate Office providing plagiarism report.

Article 23
(Composition of Doctoral Defense Committee)

- (1) The Doctoral Defense Committee consists of at least five members and one substitute member including the mentor.
- (2) At least one member of the committee must be outside of the University.
- (3) All members have the task of assessing the dissertation and acting as examiners during the defense.
- (4) The members must be experts in the area of science/arts of the dissertation or part of it.
- (5) Close family members with an affinity up to and including the fourth degree or other persons who have such a relationship to the graduate student that they cannot reasonably be expected to make a judgment will not be eligible to act as members of the defense committee.
- (6) IUS professor must be added to the committee as a substitute member. The substitute member must be available on-call until ten minutes before the time of the defense and will only be part of the committee if one member of the Committee is unable to take part in the defense. The task of the substitute member is to assess the dissertation as stipulated in this regulation.

Article 24
(Appointment of Doctoral Defense Committee)

- (1) At the latest eleven weeks before the provisional defense date, Mentor will submit a written and substantiated proposal for the composition of the doctoral committee once he/she is convinced that the members will accept the appointment and will be present at the doctoral defense on the provisional defense date. This proposal will include relevant details concerning the proposed members. The Senate will make a decision on the appointment of members of the doctoral committee based on the proposal of the Faculty Council, and then the Graduate Council sends written notification concerning that decision to the mentor, the PhD student and the members of the doctoral committee.
- (2) If the Faculty Council does not agree to the composition of the doctoral defense committee proposed by the mentor, it will request a new proposal on this from the mentor. The Faculty Council reserves the right to propose members of the doctoral defense committee to the Senate.
- (3) The members of the doctoral defense committee will confirm whether or not they agree to their appointment as soon as possible, at the latest within two weeks of their appointment.

X DOCTORAL DEFENSE

Article 25

(Assessment of PhD Dissertation by Doctoral Defense Committee)

- (1) At least nine weeks before the provisional defense date, Graduate Office will send a copy of the PhD dissertation to the members of the defense committee and it will set provisional date of the doctoral dissertation defense with consultation with defense committee members and PhD student. Provisional date of doctoral dissertation defense cannot be scheduled earlier than nine weeks from the date of doctoral defense committee received PhD dissertation for review.
- (2) Within five weeks from the moment they received copy of PhD dissertation, doctoral defense committee members will report in writing to the mentor on their judgment concerning whether the PhD dissertation appears to show sufficient evidence that the doctoral candidate can be admitted to doctoral defense.
- (3) Doctoral defense committee members can vote on admission to the doctoral defense as follows:
 - a) Approval with no corrections, or with minor corrections: the member considers written comments to be unnecessary or advises stylistic/textual improvements that have no impact on the conclusions but aim to improve readability;
 - b) Approval with major corrections: the member is of the opinion that essential corrections need to be made to the dissertation before approval can be given for admission and gives detailed indications of these to the doctoral candidate and the mentor;
 - c) No approval: the member does not consider the dissertation to be of sufficient academic quality and level and is of the opinion that the doctoral candidate cannot be admitted to the doctoral defense. The committee member may also indicate that a revised version of the PhD dissertation can be submitted after serious substantive conditions have been met.
- (4) The result of the vote referred to in paragraph (3) of this article is “postponement of the doctoral defense” if at least:
 - a. two members vote according to item c),
 - b. one member votes according to item c) and two according to item b), or
 - c. three members vote according to item b).

In all other cases, the PhD student will be admitted to the doctoral defense.

- (5) If the result of the vote is “postponement of the doctoral defense”, the revised PhD dissertation will be resubmitted to the doctoral committee within three months, which will again be assessed in accordance with this Article.

**Article 26
(Doctoral Defense)**

- (1) Definitive date and time for doctoral defense will be determined by Graduate Office, in consultation with mentor, doctoral committee and PhD student.
- (2) Graduate Office will ensure that doctoral defense is announced at least two weeks before defense date.
- (3) Doctoral defense is open to public.
- (4) Allocated time for presentation of doctoral dissertation by doctoral candidate is 60 minutes.
- (5) Decision on awarding PhD degree will be taken with simple majority votes in a closed meeting of doctoral committee immediately after the adjournment of doctoral defense. Chairperson will ask the members for their judgment on the defense.
- (6) After defense held, chairperson will prepare the official report and submit it to Graduate Office along with the signed pages by all Committee members.

XI TERMINATION OF STUDENT'S STATUS

**Article 27
(Termination of status)**

The student’s status is terminated in the following circumstances:

- a) Completion of studies;
- b) Withdrawal from IUS;
- c) Expulsion from IUS in the procedure and under conditions stipulated by law or another appropriate IUS general act;
- d) When the student fails to complete the studies in the timeframe stipulated by law, the Statute, these Rules, or IUS general acts;
- e) In any other circumstances stipulated by law, the Statute, these Rules, or other IUS general acts.

XII TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 28

(Application to other rules)

In any other matters related to the organization of studies, methods of teaching, student rights and obligations during the studies, which are not regulated by these Rules, the rules of the Statute and the Study Rules for the Undergraduate Studies shall apply.

Article 29

(Entry into force)

- 1) These Study Rules enters into force on the date they are adopted.
- 2) On the day this Rules enter into force, the previous Study Rules (No. IUS-SENAT-11-2488-3/2014) will be put out of force.

Article 30

(Bosnian and English language)

These Study Rules are done in Bosnian and English language. In case of any discrepancies between the two official versions of Study Rules, Bosnian version will prevail.

Article 31

(PhD forms)

Forms which are used to facilitate proper implementation of procedures set in this Rules are given in the addendum to this Rules and they constitute its integral part.

CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE

Prof. Dr. Ahmet YILDIRIM

CONFIRMATION ON ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE RULES

A copy of these Rules was posted on the notice board at the International University of Sarajevo on 28.05. 2018 at 12 noon;

A copy of these Rules was published by posting it on the International University of Sarajevo website on 28.05.2018 at 12 noon.

CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE

Prof. Dr. Ahmet YILDIRIM